

ELPIS

PROTOCOL

YELLOW PAPER

Technical Specification
Version 1.0 | January 2025

Formal definitions, parameters, and algorithms

elpistruth.org

Contents

1. Notation and Definitions	3
2. Protocol Parameters	4
3. Core Algorithms	6
4. Data Structures	7
5. Security Model	8
6. Appendix	9

1. Notation and Definitions

1.1 Symbols

Symbol	Definition
C	Content item (submission)
S_c	Stake amount for content C (in satoshis)
R_c	Self-assessed relevance score [1.00, 10.00]
P(A C)	Probability of accuracy for content C [0.00, 1.00]
V_c	Viewership volume for content C
O_i	Oracle i
T_o	Track record score for oracle O [0.00, 1.00]
t_{pub}	Publication timestamp (Unix epoch)
t_{settle}	Settlement timestamp (Unix epoch)

1.2 Content Types

RESOLVABLE: Content with determinable ground truth. Settles at t_{settle} when evidence emerges.

PERSISTENT: Content that never formally settles. $t_{\text{settle}} = \infty$. Earns indefinitely based on viewership.

2. Protocol Parameters

2.1 Staking Parameters

Parameter	Value	Notes
MIN_STAKE	1 sat	User-defined minimum
MAX_STAKE	No limit	Market-determined
RELEVANCE_MIN	1.00	Lowest relevance tier
RELEVANCE_MAX	10.00	Highest relevance tier

Note: All stakes settle via Lightning Network. Users may deposit/withdraw via fiat on-ramps; internal accounting uses satoshis.

2.2 Settlement Parameters

Content Type	Delay	Trigger
Breaking News	24-48 hrs	Evidence + time threshold
Investigation	7-30 days	Evidence + complexity factor
Prediction	Variable	Event occurrence
Persistent/Evergreen	∞	Never settles

2.3 Revenue Distribution Parameters

Recipient	Share	Type
CONTRIBUTOR_SHARE_MIN	40%	Dynamic floor
CONTRIBUTOR_SHARE_MAX	60%	Dynamic ceiling
ORACLE_SHARE	10%	Fixed
PLATFORM_SHARE	Remainder	30-50% (inverse of contributor)

3. Core Algorithms

3.1 Probability Aggregation

Oracle assessments are aggregated using weighted average based on track record:

$$P(A|C) = \Sigma [P_i(A|C) \times T_i \times S_i] / \Sigma [T_i \times S_i]$$

Where P_i is oracle i 's probability assessment, T_i is oracle i 's track record score, and S_i is oracle i 's stake on the assessment.

3.2 Contributor Payout Calculation

For accurate content that passes settlement:

$$\text{Payout}_c = (V_c / V_{\text{total}}) \times \text{Revenue_pool} \times \text{Contributor_share}$$

Where V_c is viewership for content C , V_{total} is total platform viewership, Revenue_pool is total platform revenue for the period, and Contributor_share is the dynamic share (40-60%) based on aggregate relevance.

3.3 Oracle Track Record Update

After each settlement, oracle track records update using exponential moving average:

$$T_{\text{new}} = \alpha \times \text{Calibration_score} + (1 - \alpha) \times T_{\text{old}}$$

Where $\alpha = 0.1$ (learning rate) and Calibration_score measures how close $P(A|C)$ was to actual outcome (0 or 1).

3.4 Staker Settlement

Public stakes resolve as follows:

- If ACCURATE: SUPPORT stakers split OPPOSE stakes proportionally
- If INACCURATE: OPPOSE stakers split SUPPORT stakes proportionally
- Platform takes small fee from winning pool (configurable, default 2%)

$$\text{Winner_payout} = (\text{My_stake} / \text{Total_winning_stakes}) \times \text{Losing_pool} \times (1 - \text{fee})$$

4. Data Structures

4.1 Content Object

```
{ id: UUID, type: "RESOLVABLE" | "PERSISTENT", contributor: Address, stake: Satoshi, relevance_self: Float[1.00-10.00], content_hash: SHA256, timestamp_pub: UnixEpoch, timestamp_settle: UnixEpoch | null, status: "LIVE" | "SETTLED_ACCURATE" | "SETTLED_INACCURATE", viewership: Integer, oracle_assessments: [OracleAssessment], public_stakes: [PublicStake] }
```

4.2 Oracle Assessment Object

```
{ oracle_id: Address, content_id: UUID, probability: Float[0.00-1.00], confidence: Float[0.00-1.00], stake: Satoshi, timestamp: UnixEpoch }
```

4.3 Public Stake Object

```
{ staker: Address, content_id: UUID, position: "SUPPORT" | "OPPOSE", amount: Satoshi, timestamp: UnixEpoch }
```

4.4 Oracle Object

```
{ id: Address, track_record: Float[0.00-1.00], total_assessments: Integer, total_stake_won: Satoshi, total_stake_lost: Satoshi, registered_at: UnixEpoch }
```

5. Security Model

5.1 Attack Vectors and Mitigations

Attack	Mitigation
Spam submissions	Minimum stake requirement creates economic cost
Oracle collusion	First defector captures bounties; permissionless entry
Wealthy attacker	Asymmetric cost: lies cost more to maintain than truth
Sybil attack	Track record accumulation is slow; multiple identities don't help
Viewership gaming	Bot detection; viewership quality metrics; cost of fake views

5.2 The Thermodynamic Floor

All attacks ultimately require acquiring Bitcoin, which requires energy expenditure. The cost of sustained misinformation campaigns is denominated in joules. At sufficient scale, even nation-states face resource constraints.

Truth is Lindy. True claims cost nothing to defend because reality defends them. The asymmetry favors honesty over time.

6. Appendix

6.1 Glossary

- **Lindy Effect:** The longer something has survived, the longer it's expected to survive.
- **Thermodynamic Security:** Security derived from real-world energy expenditure that cannot be faked or reversed.
- **Calibration:** When an oracle says 70%, it should be right approximately 70% of the time.
- **Settlement:** The moment when a claim's accuracy is determined and stakes are resolved.
- **Viewership Volume (VV):** The aggregate attention a piece of content receives, used to determine merit-based payouts.

6.2 Version History

v1.0 (January 2025): Initial specification release.

elpistruth.org